

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/918,969	07/30/2001	Roger Stringham	2923.03-2.1	3144
24001 73	590 05/02/2002			
FINLEY & BERG, LLP 455 MARKET STREET SUITE 1940			EXAMINER	
			BEHREND, HARVEY E	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2448			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3641	
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
OHI A-N O	09/9(8969	String ham
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	136hre	9 3681
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE Or.	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status 	ply within the statutory minimexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication .
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1939	for formal matters, pros 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 21	secution as to the merits is closed in 3.
Disposition of Claims		•
		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.
	*	to the control to the standard to
□ Claim(s) / -3 0		are subject to restriction or election
		requirement.
Application Papers	- Davidson DTO 010	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	□ disapproved
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed onis/are object		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objec☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	ador 25 U.S.O. 0.44 0/-)).(d)
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ut □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of 		
☐ received.	and priority documents i	····· = ·= ·= · · ·
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb	er)	
□ received in this national stage application from the Inte	ernational Bureau (PCT	Rule 1 7.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:		
Attachment(s)		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	Interview Summary, PTO-413	
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	Other	
•	e Action Summary	

Applicant(s)

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. ____

Application/Control Number: 09/918,969

Art Unit: 3641

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims1-22, 25-30 drawn to an invention, classified in class 376, subclass 146.
- II. Claims 23, 24 drawn to an invention, classified in class 376, subclass 100.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another materially different process such as a process for transmuting radioactive waste as referred to in the Hagelstein document referred to on pages 2 and 3 of the instant application.

- 2. <u>Upon election of one of the inventions identified above as I and II</u>, applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claim is generic):
 - A. The arrangement as illustrated in Fig.1.
 - B. The arrangement as illustrated in Fig. 4.
 - C. The arrangement as illustrated in Fig. 5.
 - D. The arrangement as illustrated in Fig.6.
 - E. The arrangement as illustrated in Fig. 7.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/918,969

Art Unit: 3641

- 3. <u>Upon election of one of the species identified above as A-E</u>, applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121, to elect a single ultimate species of energy source, for purposes of examination. This is to facilitate examining due to the numerous types of energy sources disclosed and claimed as a being suitable (e.g. see claim 2).
- 4. <u>Upon election of one of the species identified above as A-E, applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single ultimate species of reactant material (e.g. H₂, H₂O, D₂O, etc., including any <u>liquid</u> it may be in)(and if in compound, composition or mixture form, the <u>exact constituents of said compound, composition or mixture</u>), for purposes of examination.

 This is to facilitate examining due to the broad range of materials disclosed and claimed as being suitable (e.g. see claim 1).</u>
- 5. <u>Upon election of one of the species identified above as A-E applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121, to elect a single ultimate species of catalytic material,</u> for contact with or positioning atoms, etc., of the reactant material, for purposes of examination. This is to facilitate examining due to the broad range of materials disclosed and claimed as a being suitable (e.g. see claim 1).
- 6. Upon election of one of the species identified above as A-E, applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121, to elect a single ultimate species of the physical form of the catalytic material, for purposes of examination. This is to facilitate examining due to the broad range of forms disclosed and claimed as a being suitable (e.g. see the specification on page 7, lines 11,12 and claim 10).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/918,969

Art Unit: 3641

- 7. <u>Upon election of one of the species identified above as A-E,</u> applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claim is generic).
- X. Wherein the reactant material is itself in liquid form (e.g. see claim 1).
- Y. Wherein the reactant material is dissolved in a liquid (e.g. see claim 1).
- Z. Wherein the reactant material is mixed with a liquid (e.g. see claim 1).
- 8. <u>Upon election of one the species identified above A-E,</u> applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claim is generic).
- M. Wherein the means for conducting heat includes a circulation system and a heat exchanger.
 - N. Wherein the means for conducting heat includes bimetallic thermo-electric means.
- 9. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species, which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as a provided by CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 09/918,969

Art Unit: 3641

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP §809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harvey Behrend whose telephone number is (703) 305-1831. The examiner normally can be reached on Tuesday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-4195.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

HEB/jph

4-23-02